

Remarks

Applicants request reconsideration of the claims of the application as amended above to place this case in condition for allowance.


Applicants note with appreciation the Examiner's indication that claim 5, as previously amended, is allowed. Applicants have cancelled claims 1 and 7. Applicants have amended claims 2, 4, 6, 8, 10-12, 15, 16 and 18 to depend from claim 5. Claims 3, 9, 12, 13 and 17 were not amended because they depend indirectly from claim 5 through an intervening claim that depends from claim 5. Claim 2 has been amended to provide antecedent basis for the reference to an interior cavity of the track. Claim 8 has similarly been amended to provide antecedent basis for an enclosure that is secured to a back surface of a wall of the interior structure. Claim 9 has been amended to provide proper antecedent basis for the "flange" recitation. Claims 12 and 13 have been amended to provide proper antecedent basis for the "article" recitation. Claim 18 has been further amended to provide antecedent basis for the term "an article" to be supported by the bracket and is selected from one of the itemized lists of articles.

Please charge any fees or credit any overpayments as a result of the filing of this paper to our Deposit Account No. 02-3978.

Applicants have amended the claims of this application so that all of the claims now depend directly or indirectly from allowed claim 5 thereby placing this case in condition for allowance. The Examiner is respectfully requested to pass this case to issue.

Respectfully submitted,

THOMAS S. HICKS et al.

By 
Kevin J. Heinal, Reg. No. 29,805
Attorney/Agent for Applicant

Date: January 26, 2007

BROOKS KUSHMAN P.C.
1000 Town Center, 22nd Floor
Southfield, MI 48075-1238
Phone: 248-358-4400
Fax: 248-358-3351